Stormwater Drainage Report 4216 83rd Avenue SE Mercer Island, Washington KC Tax Parcel #362650-0065 Permit #: XXXX-XXX

Prepared For:

JayMarc Diamond, LLC Attn.: Gary Upper 7525 SE 24th Street Suite #520 Mercer Island, Washington 98040 425-281-2706 Gary@jaymarchomes.com

February 22, 2024

Prepared By:

Offe Engineers, PLLC Darrell Offe, P.E. 13932 SE 159th Place Renton, Washington 98058 425-260-3412 Darrell.Offe@comcast.net



TABLE OF CONTENT

Section 1: Project Narrative Section 2: Site Evaluation Section 3: Minimum Requirements Appendix A: Geotechnical Evaluation Appendix B: WWHM Modeling

Section 1: Project Narrative:

The proposed project is to remove an existing house and driveway and construct a new singlefamily residence. The subject property is located on the west of Island Crest Way and North of SE 42nd Street within the Mercer Heights community of the City of Mercer Island. The subject property takes access from the 83rd Avenue SE on at the northwest corner of the property. There is an existing house, long concrete driveway, and patio area on the property. These features will all be removed for the new single-family residence. All public and franchise utilities are located on the west side within 83rd Avenue SE.

The site soils are characterized between Vashon Glacial Till and infeasible for infiltration type BMPs by Cobalt Geosciences, Geotechnical Evaluation attached within this Report. City staff has determined that on-site detention is required for this new development, sizing of on-site system is included within the Report.

The property was visited in September and November 2023 to verify runoff patterns and possible storm water discharge options. The downstream system was reviewed and walked, where possible.

The project will be evaluated for storm water treatment and control using the Amended December 2019 SWMMWW (DOE Manual).









Section 2: Site Evaluation

Total Lot Area = 13,965 square feet (0.32 acres)

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Impervious:

Roof area = 2,677 sq. feet Uncovered walkway/patio = 438 sq. feet Uncovered driveway = $\frac{921 \text{ sq. feet ((PGHS))}}{4,036 \text{ sq. feet}}$

Pervious:

Lawn, trees, landscaping = 9,929 sq. feet

PROPOSED (2024) CONDITIONS

Impervious (hard) surfaces: House roof area w/overhang = 4,734 sq. feet Uncovered driveway = 961 sq. feet ((PGHS)) Uncovered walkway/pads/patio = 225 sq. feet Total Impervious (Hard) Surfaces = 5,920 sq. feet

Pervious Surfaces:

Ex. Lawn, trees, landscaping = $\underline{8,045 \text{ sq. feet}}$ Total Pervious Surfaces = 8,045 square feet

((PGHS)) -Pollution Generating Hard Surface

Summary of Project Information

Project Site Area	13,965 square feet
Existing Impervious Area	4,036 sq. feet
Existing Impervious Coverage	28.9%
New Impervious Area	2,034 sq. feet
Replaced Impervious Area	3,886 sq. feet
New plus Replaced Impervious	5,920 square feet
Proposed Impervious Area	5,920 square feet
Converted pervious: Native to lawn	0 sq. feet
Converted pervious: Native to pastu	ire 0 sq. feet
Total Area of Land Disturbance	9,000 square feet

The existing property has less than 35% (28.9%) imperious coverage and the total proposed project new plus replaced impervious surfaces will be greater than 5,000 (5,920) square feet; using Figure I-2.4.2 – "*Flow Chart for Determining Minimum Requirements for Redevelopment"* page 38, 2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, Minimum Requirements #1 - #9 apply to this project.



FLOW CHART FIGURE II-2.4.1

Figure I-3.1: Flow Chart for Determining Requirements for New Development



2019 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington

Section 3: Minimum Requirements (MRs) From 2019 SWMMWW Section I-3.4

Section I-3.4.1 MR1: Preparation of Stormwater Site Plans

A Stormwater site plan (drainage plan) has been prepared for this project together with construction details for installation of the proposed drainage control system. The Stormwater site plans and drainage narrative shall be submitted and reviewed by the City of Mercer Island as part of the building permit application.



Section I-3.4.2 MR2: Construction Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPP)

A Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPP) has been prepared and included within this Report. The CSWPP plan shall include construction installation of erosion control, establish a construction access, preservation of existing vegetation during construction, and protection of existing drainage inlets. This will include but not limited to: the use of the existing asphalt driveway (on the north side) to provide construction access from 83rd Avenue SE; installing filter fabric silt fencing along the down gradient property lines (west and south); installation of filter socks within the public catch basins located within 83rd Avenue SE; retention of native vegetated areas including tree/vegetation retention within the rear (east) and front (west) yards; and the use straw or chipped materials placed over exposed disturbed soils to prevent runoff from carrying solids.



Section I-3.4.3 MR3: Source Control of Pollution

Source control BMP's will be utilized to contain pollution generating runoff. No concrete washout will be allowed on the property during construction. No fuel materials will be placed or stored on site during construction.

Section I-3.4.4 MR4: Preservation of Natural Drainage Systems and Outfalls

The subject property slopes from a high point at the northeast corner (at elevation 276.30) towards the southwest corner (at elevation 268.50). The existing house roof area discharges onto the ground with splash blocks and then sheet flows over the landscape area and into 83rd Avenue SE. The existing driveway sheet flows towards the shoulder of 83rd Avenue SE. Both these areas combine into public catch basin (CB#22-7) within 83rd Avenue SE at the southwest corner of subject property. The natural discharge and outfall from the subject property is sheet flow and collection by a public storm basin in the southwest corner of the property. The proposed discharge will be to convey the onsite drainage from the driveway and roof area within a storm pipe and connect to into CB#22-7. The natural outfall has been preserved by the new development.

The subject property was visited in September and November 2023 to review and evaluate onsite drainage patterns and walk and review the downstream system. The downstream system below CB#22-7 is an open ditch along the east side of 83rd Avenue SE (@ 4224 83rd). The drainage then crosses 83rd Avenue SE (at the southerly end of the road) and flows within an open ditch/landscape swale along the south side of 4225 83rd Avenue SE. The ditch flows west within private property and heavily vegetated area between 83rd Avenue SE and West Mercer Way. The downstream, where accessible, has no indications of flooding, overtopping, scouring.

4216 83rd Avenue SE Downstream map



Section I-3.4.5 MR5: On-Site Stormwater Management

The proposed project drainage shall be evaluated using "*List #2, On-Site Stormwater Management BMPs for projects triggering Minimum Requirements #1 - #9"* – DOE Volume 1, chapter 2, pages 57 - 58. A Geotechnical Evaluation was prepared by Cobalt Geosciences and is attached to this Report in Appendix A.

List #2

Lawn and landscape areas – **feasible** - The use of Post-Construction Soil Quality and Depth shall be implemented within areas of the property that are not covered by hard surfaces and were disturbed during condition.

Roofs:

1.a. Full Dispersion BMP T5.30 – *infeasible* due to lack of available 100' of vegetated flow path downgradient from the roof area.

1.b. Full Infiltration BMP T5.10A – infeasible due to lack of permeable soils.

2. Rain Garden/Bioretention BMP T7.30 – *infeasible* due to lack of available area on the downgradient portion of the property (west side) and preserved tree area on the west side. Can not remove trees in this area nor work under.

3. Downspout Dispersion System BMP T5.10B – *infeasible* due to lack of available 50' flow path downgradient of the downspout leaders.

4. Perforated Pipe Connection BMP T5.10C - infeasible due to lack of permeable soils.

Other Hard Surfaces:

1. Full Dispersion BMP T5.30 – *infeasible* due to the lack of available 100' of vegetated flow path length.

2. Permeable Pavement BMP T5.15 – infeasible infiltration type BMP not recommended by City of Mercer Island Infiltration Infeasibility Map.

3. Rain Garden/Bioretention BMP T7.30 – infeasible due to lack of available area on the downgradient portion of the property (west side) and preserved tree area on the west side. Can not remove trees in this area nor work under.

4.a. Sheet Flow Dispersion BMP T5.12 – infeasible due to lack of available 25 feet of flow path downgradient from driveway.

4.b. Concentrated Flow Dispersion BMP T5.11 - *infeasible* due to lack of available flow path downgradient from hard surfaces.

There are no available BMPs to provide treatment of the roof area or other hard surfaces. Therefore, a connection to the public storm system within 83rd Avenue SE will be provided.

Section I-3.4.6 MR6: Runoff Treatment

Determine if thresholds for runoff treatment have been exceeded:

- (a) Projects that exceed 5,000 square feet of pollution generating hard surfaces (PGHS)

 The proposed project will generate 961 square feet of PGHS threshold not exceeded
- (b) Projects that create or modify ³/₄ acre (32,670 square feet) of pollution generating pervious surface (PGPS) The proposed project will create or modify 8,045 square feet (0.18 acres) of PGPS threshold not exceeded.

The thresholds for runoff treatment have not been exceeded, therefore proposed project does not have to provided runoff treatment.

Section I-3.4.7 MR7: Flow Control

Determine if thresholds for flow control have been exceeded:

TDA Thresholds.

- (a) Project effective impervious surfaces exceed 10,000 square feet Proposed project will create 5,920 square feet of effective impervious surfaces – threshold not exceeded.
- (b) *Project converts ¾ acre (0.75 acres) of vegetation to lawn or landscape area* Proposed project will convert 0.18 acres to landscape area threshold not exceeded.
- (c) Project will cause a 0.15 cfs increase in the 100-year event between the existing condition and the proposed condition – Project modeling will be required to determine if there is an increase in the 100-year event that exceeds threshold.

Modeling: Using WWHM model

Existing condition input: Existing residence was built in 1954 Roof area (flat) – 0.0615 acres Driveway (moderate) – 0.0211 acres Walkways/patio (flat) – 0.0101 acres Lawn (moderate) – 0.2273 acres

Mitigated condition (proposed) input: (Proposed (2024) Conditions from Section 2) Roof area (moderate) – 0.1087 acres Driveway (moderate) – 0.0221 acres Walkways/pads (flat) – 0.0052 acres Lawn (moderate) – 0.1840 acres

WWMH Modeling can be found within Appendix B.

Modeling results: (page 7 of WWHM Modeling) 100-year mitigated = 0.1739 cfs 100-year existing = 0.1609 cfs

Difference = 0.013 cfs << 0.15 cfs Therefore threshold has not been exceeded

No flow control thresholds will be exceeded; therefore, DOE flow control is not required. However, City of Mercer Island (MI) does require flow control. Calculations for MI flow control is attached.

Detention Tank sizing per Mercer Island Requirements

Sizing of required for on-site detention system

- (A) The Geotechnical Evaluation by Cobalt Geosciences has determined the underlying soils type to be Class B
- (B) The proposed total impervious surface is 5,814 square feet

Using "*City of Mercer Island On-Site Detention Design Requirements, Table 1*", the required detention tank will be 54 linear feet of 60" (5') CMP pipe.

Table 1

ON-SITE DETENTION DESIGN FOR PROJECTS BETWEEN 500 SF AND 9,500 SF NEW PLUS REPLACED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE AREA

		Detention Pipe Lowest Length (ft) Diamete		Orifice	Distance from	Outlet Invert	Second	Orifice	
New and Replaced				Diameter (in) ⁽³⁾		to Second	Orifice (ft)	Diameter (in)	
Impervious Surface Area	Detention Pipe	B soils	C soils	B soils	C soils	B soils	Cooile	B soils	C soils
(sf)	Diameter (in)	D SOIIS	C 30113	D 30113	C SOIIS	D SOIIS	C SOIIS	D SOIIS	C SOIIS
	36"	30	22	0.5	0.5	2.2	2.0	0.5	0.8
500 to 1,000 sf	48"	18	11	0.5	0.5	3.3	3.2	0.9	0.8
	60"	11	7	0.5	0.5	4.2	3.4	0.5	0.6
	36"	66	43	0.5	0.5	2.2	2.3	0.9	1.4
1,001 to 2,000 sf	48"	34	23	0.5	0.5	3.2	3.3	0.9	1.2
	60"	22	14	0.5	0.5	4.3	3.6	0.9	0.9
	36"	90	66	0.5	0.5	2.2	2.4	0.9	1.9
2,001 to 3,000 sf	48"	48	36	0.5	0.5	3.1	2.8	0.9	1.5
	60"	30	20	0.5	0.5	4.2	3.7	0.9	1.1
	36"	120	78	0.5	0.5	2.4	2.2	1.4	1.6
3,001 to 4,000 sf	48"	62	42	0.5	0.5	2.8	2.9	0.8	1.3
	60"	42	26	0.5	0.5	3.8	3.9	0.9	1.3
	36"	134	91	0.5	0.5	2.8	2.2	1.7	1.5
4,001 to 5,000 sf	48"	73	49	0.5	0.5	3.6	2.9	1.6	1.5
	60"	46	31	0.5	0.5	4.6	3.5	1.6	1.3
(Y Y Y Y Y)	36"	162	109	0.5	0.5	2.7	2.2	1.8	1.6
5,001 to 6,000 sf	48"	Y90Y	59	0.5	0.5	3.5	2.9	1.7	1.5
$\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$	60"	54	37	0.5	0.5	4.6	3.6	1.6	1.4
	36"	192	128	0.5	0.5	2.7	2.2	1.9	1.8
6,001 to 7,000 sf	48	102	68	0.5	0.5	3.7	2.9	1.9	1.6
	60"	64	43	0.5	0.5	4.6	3.6	1.8	1.5
	36"	216	146	0.5	0.5	2.8	2.2	2.0	1.9
7,001 to 8,000 sf	48"	119	79	0.5	0.5	3.8	2.9	2.2	1.7
	60"	73	49	0.5	0.5	4.5	3.6	2.0	1.6
	36"	228	155	0.5	0.5	2.8	2.2	2.1	1.9
8,001 to 8,500 sf ⁽¹⁾	48"	124	84	0.5	0.5	3.7	2.9	1.9	1.8
	60"	77	53	0.5	0.5	4.6	3.6	2.0	1.6
	36"	NA ⁽¹⁾	164	0.5	0.5	NA ⁽¹⁾	2.2	NA ⁽¹⁾	1.9
8,501 to 9,000 sf	48"	NA ⁽¹⁾	89	0.5	0.5	NA ⁽¹⁾	2.9	NA ⁽¹⁾	1.9
	60"	NA ⁽¹⁾	55	0.5	0.5	NA ⁽¹⁾	3.6	NA ⁽¹⁾	1.7
	36"	NA (1)	174	0.5	0.5	NA ⁽¹⁾	2.2	NA (1)	2.1
9.001 to 9.500 sf ⁽²⁾	48"	NA ⁽¹⁾	94	0.5	0.5	NA ⁽¹⁾	2.9	NA ⁽¹⁾	2.0
-,	60"	ΝΔ ⁽¹⁾	58	0.5	0.5	ΝΔ ⁽¹⁾	3.7	ΝΔ ⁽¹⁾	1.7

Notes:

• Minimum Requirement #7 (Flow Control) is required when the 100-year flow frequency causes a 0.15 cubic feet per second increase (when modeled in WWHM with a 15-minute timestep). Breakpoints shown in this table are based on a flat slope (0-5%). The 100-year flow frequency will need to be evaluated on a site-specific basis for projects on moderate (5-15%) or steep (> 15%) slopes.

- Soil type to be determined by geotechnical analysis or soil map.
- Sizing includes a Volume Correction Factor of 120%.
- Upper bound contributing area used for sizing.
- ⁽¹⁾ On Type B soils, new plus replaced impervious surface areas exceeding 8,500 sf trigger Minimum Requirement #7 (Flow Control)
- ⁽²⁾ On Type C soils, new plus replaced impervious surface areas exceeding 9,500 sf trigger Minimum Requirement #7 (Flow Control)
- ⁽³⁾ Minimum orifice diameter = 0.5 inches
- in = inch
- ft = feet
- sf = square feet

Basis of Sizing Assumptions:

Sized per MR#5 in the Stormwater Management Manual for Puget Sound Basin (1992 Ecology Manual) SBUH, Type 1A, 24-hour hydrograph 2-year, 24-hour storm = 2 in; 10-year, 24-hour storm = 3 in; 100-year, 24-hour storm = 4 in Predeveloped = second growth forest (CN = 72 for Type B soils, CN = 81 for Type C soils) Developed = impervious (CN = 98) 0.5 foot of sediment storage in detention pipe Overland slope = 5%

Section I-3.4.8 MR8: Wetlands Protection

Proposed project does not discharge into a wetland; therefore, Minimum Requirement #8 does not apply.

Section I-3.4.9 MR9: Operation and Maintenance Attached

Table V-4.5.2(3) Maintenance Standards - Closed Detention Systems(Tanks/Vaults)

Maintenance Component	Defect	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expec- ted When Maintenance is Performed	
	Plugged Air Vents	One-half of the cross section of a vent is blocked at any point or the vent is damaged.	Vents open and functioning.	
	Debris and Sed-	Accumulated sediment depth exceeds 10% of the diameter of the storage area for 1/2 length of storage vault or any point depth exceeds 15% of diameter.	All sediment and debris removed from	
		require cleaning when sediment reaches depth of 7 inches for more than 1/2 length of tank.)	storage area.	
Storage Area	Joints Between	Any openings or voids allowing mater- ial to be transported into facility.	All joint between tank/nine sec-	
	tion	(Will require engineering analysis to determine structural stability).	tions are sealed.	
	Tank Pipe Bent Out of Shape	Any part of tank/pipe is bent out of shape more than 10% of its design shape. (Review required by engineer to determine structural stability).	Tank/pipe repaired or replaced to design.	
	Vault Structure Includes Cracks in Wall, Bottom, Damage to	Cracks wider than 1/2-inch and any evidence of soil particles entering the structure through the cracks, or main- tenance/inspection personnel determ- ines that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault replaced or repaired to design spe- cifications and is structurally sound.	
	Frame and/or Top Slab	Cracks wider than 1/2-inch at the joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or any evidence of soil particles entering the vault through the walls.	No cracks more than 1/4-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/out- let pipe.	
Manhole	Cover Not in Place	Cover is missing or only partially in place. Any open manhole requires maintenance.	Manhole is closed.	

2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington

Table V-4.5.2(3) Maintenance Standards - Closed Detention Systems(Tanks/Vaults) (continued)

Maintenance Component	Defect	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expec- ted When Maintenance is Performed
	Locking Mech- anism Not Work- ing	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts into frame have less than 1/2 inch of thread (may not apply to self-locking lids).	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover Difficult to Remove	One maintenance person cannot remove lid after applying normal lifting pressure. Intent is to keep cover from sealing off access to maintenance.	Cover can be removed and reinstalled by one main- tenance per- son.
	Ladder Rungs Unsafe	Ladder is unsafe due to missing rungs, misalignment, not securely attached to structure wall, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design stand- ards. Allows maintenance person safe access.
Catch Basins	See "Catch Bas- ins" (No. 5)	See "Catch Basins" (No. 5).	See "Catch Basins" (No. 5).

Table V-4.5.2(4) Maintenance Standards - Control Structure/Flow

Restrictor

Maintenance Component	Defect	Condition When Main- tenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
	Trash and Debris (Includes Sediment)	Material exceeds 25% of sump depth or 1 foot below orifice plate.	Control structure orifice is not blocked. All trash and debris removed.
General		Structure is not securely attached to manhole wall.	Structure securely attached to wall and outlet pipe.
	Structural Damage	Structure is not in upright position (allow up to 10% from plumb). Connections to outlet pipe	Structure in correct position. Connections to outlet pipe are water tight; structure repaired or replaced and works as

2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington

Volume V - Chapter 4 - Page 836

Table V-4.5.2(4) Maintenance Standards - Control Structure/FlowRestrictor (continued)

Maintenance Component Defect		Condition When Main- tenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed		
		are not watertight and show signs of rust.	designed.		
		Any holes - other than designed holes - in the structure.	Structure has no holes other than designed holes.		
		Cleanout gate is not water- tight or is missing.	Gate is watertight and works as designed.		
Cleanout	Damaged or	Gate cannot be moved up and down by one main- tenance person.	Gate moves up and down eas- ily and is watertight.		
Gate	Missing	Chain/rod leading to gate is missing or damaged.	Chain is in place and works a designed.		
		Gate is rusted over 50% of its surface area.	Gate is repaired or replaced to meet design standards.		
Orifice Plate	Damaged or Missing	Control device is not work- ing properly due to missing, out of place, or bent orifice plate.	Plate is in place and works as designed.		
	Obstructions	Any trash, debris, sediment, or vegetation blocking the plate.	Plate is free of all obstructions and works as designed.		
Overflow Pipe	Obstructions	Any trash or debris blocking (or having the potential of blocking) the overflow pipe.	Pipe is free of all obstructions and works as designed.		
Manhole	See "Closed Detention Systems" (No. 3).	See "Closed Detention Sys- tems" (No. 3).	See "Closed Detention Sys- tems" (No. 3).		
Catch Basin	See "Catch Basins" (No. 5).	See "Catch Basins" (No. 5).	See "Catch Basins" (No. 5).		

2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington Volume V - Chapter 4 - Page 837

Maintenance Component	Defect	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Main- tenance is performed
General	Trash & Debris	Trash or debris which is located imme- diately in front of the catch basin opening or is blocking inletting capacity of the basin by more than 10%. Trash or debris (in the basin) that exceeds 60 percent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the low- est pipe into or out of the basin, but in no case less than a minimum of six inches clearance from the debris surface to the invert of the lowest pipe. Trash or debris in any inlet or outlet pipe blocking more than 1/3 of its height. Dead animals or vegetation that could gen- erate odors that could cause complaints or dangerous gases (e.g., methane).	No Trash or debris loc- ated imme- diately in front of catch basin or on grate open- ing. No trash or debris in the catch basin. Inlet and out- let pipes free of trash or debris. No dead animals or vegetation present within the catch basin.
	Sediment	Sediment (in the basin) that exceeds 60 per- cent of the sump depth as measured from the bottom of basin to invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the basin, but in no case less than a minimum of 6 inches clearance from the sediment surface to the invert of the lowest pipe.	No sediment in the catch basin
	Structure Damage to Frame and/or Top Slab	Top slab has holes larger than 2 square inches or cracks wider than 1/4 inch. (Intent is to make sure no material is running into basin).	Top slab is free of holes and cracks. Frame is sit-

Table V-4.5.2(5) Maintenance Standards - Catch Basins

2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington

Maintenance Component Defect		Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Main- tenance is performed
		Frame not sitting flush on top slab, i.e., sep- aration of more than 3/4 inch of the frame from the top slab. Frame not securely attached	ting flush on the riser rings or top slab and firmly attached.
	Fractures or Cracks in	Maintenance person judges that structure is unsound. Grout fillet has separated or cracked wider than 1/2 inch and longer than 1 foot at the	Basin replaced or repaired to design stand- ards.
	Bottom	joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or any evidence of soil particles entering catch basin through cracks.	Pipe is regrouted and secure at basin wall.
	Settlement/ Misalignment	If failure of basin has created a safety, func- tion, or design problem.	Basin replaced or repaired to design stand- ards.
	Vegetation	Vegetation growing across and blocking more than 10% of the basin opening.	No veget- ation block- ing opening to basin.
	vegetation	Vegetation growing in inlet/outlet pipe joints that is more than six inches tall and less than six inches apart.	No veget- ation or root growth present.
	Contamination and Pollution	See "Detention Ponds" (No. 1).	No pollution present.
Catch Basin	Cover Not in Place	Cover is missing or only partially in place. Any open catch basin requires main- tenance.	Catch basin cover is closed
	Locking Mech- anism Not	Mechanism cannot be opened by one main- tenance person with proper tools. Bolts into	Mechanism opens with

Table V-4.5.2(5) Maintenance Standards - Catch Basins (continued)

2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington

Maintenance Component	Defect	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Main- tenance is
			performed
	Working	frame have less than 1/2 inch of thread.	proper tools.
	Cover Difficult	One maintenance person cannot remove lid after applying normal lifting pressure.	Cover can be removed by
	to Remove	(Intent is keep cover from sealing off access to maintenance.)	tenance per- son.
Ladder	Ladder Rungs Unsafe	Ladder is unsafe due to missing rungs, not securely attached to basin wall, mis- alignment, rust, cracks, or sharp edges.	Ladder meets design stand- ards and allows main- tenance per- son safe access.
	Grate opening Unsafe	Grate with opening wider than 7/8 inch.	Grate open- ing meets design stand- ards.
Metal Grates (If Applic- able)	Trash and Debris	Trash and debris that is blocking more than 20% of grate surface inletting capacity.	Grate free of trash and debris.
	Damaged or Missing.	Grate missing or broken member(s) of the grate.	Grate is in place and meets design standards.

Table V-4.5.2(5) Maintenance Standards - Catch Basins (continued)

Table V-4.5.2(6) Maintenance Standards - Debris Barriers (e.g., TrashRacks)

Maintenance Com- Defect ponents		Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed		
General	Trash and Debris	Trash or debris that is plugging more than 20% of the openings in the barrier.	Barrier cleared to design flow capacity.		
Metal	Damaged/ Missing	Bars are bent out of shape more than 3 inches.	Bars in place with no bends more than 3/4		

2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington

Volume V - Chapter 4 - Page 840

Appendix A: Geotechnical Evaluation



Cobalt Geosciences, LLC P.O. Box 1792 North Bend, WA 98045

November 13, 2023

JayMarc Homes C/O Darrell Offe <u>Darrell.offe@comcast.net</u>

RE: Geotechnical Evaluation Proposed Residence 4104 83rd Avenue SE Mercer Island, Washington

In accordance with your authorization, Cobalt Geosciences, LLC has prepared this letter to discuss the results of our geotechnical evaluation at the referenced site.

The purpose of our evaluation was to provide recommendations for foundation design, grading, and earthwork.

Site Description

The site is located at 4104 83rd Avenue SE in Mercer Island, Washington. The site consists of one nearly rectangular parcel (No. 3626500040) with a total area of 14,085 square feet.

The central portion of the property is developed with a residence and driveway. The site slopes downward from northeast and east to west and southwest at magnitudes of about 5 to 15 percent and relief of about 10 feet. There is a short cut slope about 4 feet tall and at magnitudes of over 50 percent near the west property line and right of way. There is an apparent wall near the north property line that is about 6 feet tall and 15 feet long (obscured by vegetation).

The site is vegetated with grasses, bushes, and variable diameter trees. The site is bordered to the north, south, and east by residences, and to the west by 83rd Avenue SE.

The proposed development includes a new residence and driveway in the central portion of the property.

Stormwater will include infiltration or other systems depending on feasibility. Site grading may include cuts and fills of 3 feet or less and foundation loads are expected to be light. We should be provided with the final plans to verify that our recommendations remain valid and do not require updating.

Area Geology

The <u>Geologic map of the Mercer Island</u>, indicates that the site is underlain by Vashon Glacial Till.

Vashon Glacial Till includes dense mixtures of silt, sand, gravel, and clay. These deposits are typically impermeable below a weathered zone.

Soil & Groundwater Conditions

As part of our evaluation, we excavated two hand borings where accessible. The explorations encountered approximately 6 inches of grass and topsoil underlain by approximately 3.25 to 4.25 feet of loose to medium dense, silty-fine to medium grained sand with gravel (Weathered Glacial

Till). These materials were underlain by dense, silty-fine to medium grained gravel (Glacial Till), which continued to the termination depths of the explorations.

Groundwater was not encountered during the exploration work. Perched groundwater may develop within 5 feet of the existing site elevations during the wet season based on the presence of soil mottling. Volumes would generally be light.

Water table elevations often fluctuate over time. The groundwater level will depend on a variety of factors that may include seasonal precipitation, irrigation, land use, climatic conditions and soil permeability. Water levels at the time of the field investigation may be different from those encountered during the construction phase of the project. It would be necessary to install a piezometer to determine groundwater depths over a typical year.

Seismic Parameters

The overall subsurface profile corresponds to a Site Class D as defined by Table 1613.5.2 of the International Building Code (IBC). A Site Class D applies to an overall profile consisting of medium dense to very dense soils within the upper 100 feet.

We referenced the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Earthquake Hazards Program Website to obtain values for S_S , S_1 , F_a , and F_v . The USGS website includes the most updated published data on seismic conditions. The following tables provide seismic parameters from the USGS web site with referenced parameters from ASCE 7-16.

Site Class	Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec. (g)	Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec. (g)	Site Coefficients		Design Spectral Response Parameters		Design PGA
			Fa	$F_{\rm v}$	\mathbf{S}_{DS}	S_{D1}	
D	1.418	0.493	1.0	Null	0.945	Null	0.607

Seismic Design Parameters (ASCE 7-16)

Additional seismic considerations include liquefaction potential and amplification of ground motions by soft/loose soil deposits. The liquefaction potential is highest for loose sand with a high groundwater table. The site has a relatively low likelihood of liquefaction. For items listed as "Null" see Section 11.4.8 of the ASCE.

Conclusions and Recommendations

General

The site is underlain by soils consistent with Vashon Glacial Till. These soils become relatively dense below a weathered zone. The proposed residential structure may be supported on a shallow foundation system bearing on medium dense or firmer native soils or on structural fill placed on the native soils.

Local overexcavation or recompaction of loose weathered native soils may be necessary depending on the proposed elevations and locations of the new footings. Widespread infiltration is not feasible due to the soil conditions and anticipated seasonal groundwater conditions. We recommend utilizing direct or perforated connection to an approved conveyance.

Site Preparation

Trees, shrubs and other vegetation should be removed prior to stripping of surficial organic-rich soil and fill. Based on observations from the site investigation program, it is anticipated that the stripping depth will be 6 to 18 inches. Deeper excavations will be necessary below larger trees and foundation systems.

The native soils consist of silty-sand with gravel. Most of the native soils may be used as structural fill provided they achieve compaction requirements and are within 3 percent of the optimum moisture. Some of these soils may only be suitable for use as fill during the summer months, as they will be above the optimum moisture levels in their current state. These soils are variably moisture sensitive and may degrade during periods of wet weather and under equipment traffic.

Imported structural fill should consist of a sand and gravel mixture with a maximum grain size of 3 inches and less than 5 percent fines (material passing the U.S. Standard No. 200 Sieve). Structural fill should be placed in maximum lift thicknesses of 12 inches and should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the modified proctor maximum dry density, as determined by the ASTM D 1557 test method.

Temporary Excavations

Based on our understanding of the project, we anticipate that the grading could include local cuts on the order of approximately 3 feet or less for foundation and most of the utility placement. Temporary excavations should be sloped no steeper than 1.5H:1V (Horizontal:Vertical) in loose native soils and fill, 1H:1V in medium dense native soils and 3/4H:1V in dense to very dense native soils (if encountered). If an excavation is subject to heavy vibration or surcharge loads, we recommend that the excavations be sloped no steeper than 2H:1V, where room permits.

Temporary cuts should be in accordance with the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) Part N, Excavation, Trenching, and Shoring. Temporary slopes should be visually inspected daily by a qualified person during construction activities and the inspections should be documented in daily reports. The contractor is responsible for maintaining the stability of the temporary cut slopes and reducing slope erosion during construction.

Temporary cut slopes should be covered with visqueen to help reduce erosion during wet weather, and the slopes should be closely monitored until the permanent retaining systems or slope configurations are complete. Materials should not be stored or equipment operated within 10 feet of the top of any temporary cut slope.

Soil conditions may not be completely known from the geotechnical investigation. In the case of temporary cuts, the existing soil conditions may not be completely revealed until the excavation work exposes the soil. Typically, as excavation work progresses the maximum inclination of temporary slopes will need to be re-evaluated by the geotechnical engineer so that supplemental recommendations can be made. Soil and groundwater conditions can be highly variable. Scheduling for soil work will need to be adjustable, to deal with unanticipated conditions, so that the project can proceed and required deadlines can be met.

If any variations or undesirable conditions are encountered during construction, we should be notified so that supplemental recommendations can be made. If room constraints or groundwater conditions do not permit temporary slopes to be cut to the maximum angles allowed by the WAC, temporary shoring systems may be required. The contractor should be responsible for developing temporary shoring systems, if needed. We recommend that Cobalt Geosciences and the project structural engineer review temporary shoring designs prior to installation, to verify the suitability of the proposed systems.

Foundation Design

The proposed structure may be supported on a shallow spread footing foundation system bearing on undisturbed medium dense or firmer native soils or on properly compacted structural fill placed on the suitable native soils. Any undocumented fill and/or loose native soils should be removed and replaced with structural fill below foundation elements. Structural fill below footings should consist of clean angular rock 5/8 to 4 inches in size. We should verify soil conditions during foundation excavation work.

For shallow foundation support, we recommend widths of at least 16 and 24 inches, respectively, for continuous wall and isolated column footings supporting the proposed structure. Provided that the footings are supported as recommended above, a net allowable bearing pressure of 2,500 pounds per square foot (psf) may be used for design.

A 1/3 increase in the above value may be used for short duration loads, such as those imposed by wind and seismic events. Structural fill placed on bearing, native subgrade should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density based on ASTM Test Method D1557. Footing excavations should be inspected to verify that the foundations will bear on suitable material.

Exterior footings should have a minimum depth of 18 inches below pad subgrade (soil grade) or adjacent exterior grade, whichever is lower. Interior footings should have a minimum depth of 12 inches below pad subgrade (soil grade) or adjacent exterior grade, whichever is lower.

If constructed as recommended, the total foundation settlement is not expected to exceed 1 inch. Differential settlement, along a 25-foot exterior wall footing, or between adjoining column footings, should be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch. This translates to an angular distortion of 0.002. Most settlement is expected to occur during construction, as the loads are applied. However, additional post-construction settlement may occur if the foundation soils are flooded or saturated. All footing excavations should be observed by a qualified geotechnical consultant.

Resistance to lateral footing displacement can be determined using an allowable friction factor of 0.40 acting between the base of foundations and the supporting subgrades. Lateral resistance for footings can also be developed using an allowable equivalent fluid passive pressure of 250 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) acting against the appropriate vertical footing faces (neglect the upper 12 inches below grade in exterior areas). The frictional and passive resistance of the soil may be combined without reduction in determining the total lateral resistance.

Care should be taken to prevent wetting or drying of the bearing materials during construction. Any extremely wet or dry materials, or any loose or disturbed materials at the bottom of the footing excavations, should be removed prior to placing concrete. The potential for wetting or drying of the bearing materials can be reduced by pouring concrete as soon as possible after completing the footing excavation and evaluating the bearing surface by the geotechnical engineer or his representative.
Stormwater Management Feasibility

The site is underlain by weathered and unweathered glacial soil deposits. We evaluated the infiltration characteristics in HB-2 at a depth of 4 feet below grade.

We attempted to perform an in-situ infiltration test; however, during the saturation period, the inflow of testing water was reduced to the lowest possible rate and the water level in the exploration consistently increased. This indicates that vertical infiltration was reduced to near zero, confirming infiltration infeasibility due to the presence of an aquitard.

We recommend direct or perforated connection of runoff collection devices to City infrastructure. We can provide additional input if other systems are being considered or proposed.

Slab-on-Grade

We recommend that the upper 18 inches of the existing native soils within slab areas be recompacted to at least 95 percent of the modified proctor (ASTM D1557 Test Method).

Often, a vapor barrier is considered below concrete slab areas. However, the usage of a vapor barrier could result in curling of the concrete slab at joints. Floor covers sensitive to moisture typically requires the usage of a vapor barrier. A materials or structural engineer should be consulted regarding the detailing of the vapor barrier below concrete slabs. Exterior slabs typically do not utilize vapor barriers.

The American Concrete Institutes ACI 360R-06 Design of Slabs on Grade and ACI 302.1R-04 Guide for Concrete Floor and Slab Construction are recommended references for vapor barrier selection and floor slab detailing.

Slabs on grade may be designed using a coefficient of subgrade reaction of 180 pounds per cubic inch (pci) assuming the slab-on-grade base course is underlain by structural fill placed and compacted as outlined above. A 4- to 6-inch-thick capillary break layer should be placed over the prepared subgrade. This material should consist of pea gravel or 5/8 inch clean angular rock.

A perimeter drainage system is recommended unless interior slab areas are elevated a minimum of 12 inches above adjacent exterior grades. If installed, a perimeter drainage system should consist of a 4-inch diameter perforated drain pipe surrounded by a minimum 6 inches of drain rock wrapped in a non-woven geosynthetic filter fabric to reduce migration of soil particles into the drainage system. The perimeter drainage system should discharge by gravity flow to a suitable stormwater system.

Exterior grades surrounding buildings should be sloped at a minimum of one percent to facilitate surface water flow away from the building and preferably with a relatively impermeable surface cover immediately adjacent to the building.

Erosion and Sediment Control

Erosion and sediment control (ESC) is used to reduce the transportation of eroded sediment to wetlands, streams, lakes, drainage systems, and adjacent properties. Erosion and sediment control measures should be implemented, and these measures should be in general accordance with local regulations. At a minimum, the following basic recommendations should be incorporated into the design of the erosion and sediment control features for the site:

• Schedule the soil, foundation, utility, and other work requiring excavation or the disturbance of the site soils, to take place during the dry season (generally May through September).

However, provided precautions are taken using Best Management Practices (BMP's), grading activities can be completed during the wet season (generally October through April).

- All site work should be completed and stabilized as quickly as possible.
- Additional perimeter erosion and sediment control features may be required to reduce the possibility of sediment entering the surface water. This may include additional silt fences, silt fences with a higher Apparent Opening Size (AOS), construction of a berm, or other filtration systems.
- Any runoff generated by dewatering discharge should be treated through construction of a sediment trap if there is sufficient space. If space is limited other filtration methods will need to be incorporated.

Utilities

Utility trenches should be excavated according to accepted engineering practices following OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) standards, by a contractor experienced in such work. The contractor is responsible for the safety of open trenches. Traffic and vibration adjacent to trench walls should be reduced; cyclic wetting and drying of excavation side slopes should be avoided. Depending upon the location and depth of some utility trenches, groundwater flow into open excavations could be experienced, especially during or shortly following periods of precipitation.

In general, silty and sandy soils were encountered at shallow depths in the explorations at this site. These soils have low cohesion and density and will have a tendency to cave or slough in excavations. Shoring or sloping back trench sidewalls is required within these soils in excavations greater than 4 feet deep.

All utility trench backfill should consist of imported structural fill or suitable on site soils. Utility trench backfill placed in or adjacent to buildings and exterior slabs should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density based on ASTM Test Method D1557. The upper 5 feet of utility trench backfill placed in pavement areas should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density based on ASTM Test Method D1557. Below 5 feet, utility trench backfill in pavement areas should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density based on ASTM Test Method D1557. Below 5 feet, utility trench backfill in pavement areas should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density based on ASTM Test Method D1557. Pipe bedding should be in accordance with the pipe manufacturer's recommendations.

The contractor is responsible for removing all water-sensitive soils from the trenches regardless of the backfill location and compaction requirements. Depending on the depth and location of the proposed utilities, we anticipate the need to re-compact existing fill soils below the utility structures and pipes. The contractor should use appropriate equipment and methods to avoid damage to the utilities and/or structures during fill placement and compaction procedures.

CONSTRUCTION FIELD REVIEWS

Cobalt Geosciences should be retained to provide part time field review during construction in order to verify that the soil conditions encountered are consistent with our design assumptions and that the intent of our recommendations is being met. This will require field and engineering review to:

- Monitor and test structural fill placement and soil compaction
- Observe bearing capacity at foundation locations

- Observe slab-on-grade preparation
- Monitor foundation drainage placement
- Observe excavation stability

Geotechnical design services should also be anticipated during the subsequent final design phase to support the structural design and address specific issues arising during this phase. Field and engineering review services will also be required during the construction phase in order to provide a Final Letter for the project.

CLOSURE

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of JayMarc Homes and their appointed consultants. Any use of this report or the material contained herein by third parties, or for other than the intended purpose, should first be approved in writing by Cobalt Geosciences, LLC.

The recommendations contained in this report are based on assumed continuity of soils with those of our test holes and assumed structural loads. Cobalt Geosciences should be provided with final architectural and civil drawings when they become available in order that we may review our design recommendations and advise of any revisions, if necessary.

Use of this report is subject to the Statement of General Conditions provided in Appendix A. It is the responsibility of JayMarc Homes who is identified as "the Client" within the Statement of General Conditions, and its agents to review the conditions and to notify Cobalt Geosciences should any of these not be satisfied.

Sincerely,

Cobalt Geosciences, LLC



11/13/2023 Phil Haberman, PE, LG, LEG Principal



Statement of General Conditions

USE OF THIS REPORT: This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of the Client or its agent and may not be used by any third party without the express written consent of Cobalt Geosciences and the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this report is the responsibility of such third party.

BASIS OF THE REPORT: The information, opinions, and/or recommendations made in this report are in accordance with Cobalt Geosciences present understanding of the site specific project as described by the Client. The applicability of these is restricted to the site conditions encountered at the time of the investigation or study. If the proposed site specific project differs or is modified from what is described in this report or if the site conditions are altered, this report is no longer valid unless Cobalt Geosciences is requested by the Client to review and revise the report to reflect the differing or modified project specifics and/or the altered site conditions.

STANDARD OF CARE: Preparation of this report, and all associated work, was carried out in accordance with the normally accepted standard of care in the state of execution for the specific professional service provided to the Client. No other warranty is made.

INTERPRETATION OF SITE CONDITIONS: Soil, rock, or other material descriptions, and statements regarding their condition, made in this report are based on site conditions encountered by Cobalt Geosciences at the time of the work and at the specific testing and/or sampling locations. Classifications and statements of condition have been made in accordance with normally accepted practices which are judgmental in nature; no specific description should be considered exact, but rather reflective of the anticipated material behavior. Extrapolation of in situ conditions can only be made to some limited extent beyond the sampling or test points. The extent depends on variability of the soil, rock and groundwater conditions as influenced by geological processes, construction activity, and site use.

VARYING OR UNEXPECTED CONDITIONS: Should any site or subsurface conditions be encountered that are different from those described in this report or encountered at the test locations, Cobalt Geosciences must be notified immediately to assess if the varying or unexpected conditions are substantial and if reassessments of the report conclusions or recommendations are required. Cobalt Geosciences will not be responsible to any party for damages incurred as a result of failing to notify Cobalt Geosciences that differing site or sub-surface conditions are present upon becoming aware of such conditions.

PLANNING, DESIGN, OR CONSTRUCTION: Development or design plans and specifications should be reviewed by Cobalt Geosciences, sufficiently ahead of initiating the next project stage (property acquisition, tender, construction, etc), to confirm that this report completely addresses the elaborated project specifics and that the contents of this report have been properly interpreted. Specialty quality assurance services (field observations and testing) during construction are a necessary part of the evaluation of sub-subsurface conditions and site preparation works. Site work relating to the recommendations included in this report should only be carried out in the presence of a qualified geotechnical engineer; Cobalt Geosciences cannot be responsible for site work carried out without being present.



Approximate HandHB-1 Boring Location



King County imap Image



Proposed Residence 4014 83rd Ave SE Mercer Island, Washington

Site Image

Figure 1

Cobalt Geosciences, LLC P.O. Box 82243 Kenmore, WA 98028 (206) 331-1097 www.cobaltgeo.com cobaltgeo@gmail.com



Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)									
MAJOR DIVISIONS			SYMBOL		TYPICAL DESCRIPTION				
		Clean Gravels		GW	Well-graded gravels, gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines				
	Gravels (more than 50%	fines)	000	GP	Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines				
COARSE	retained on No. 4 sieve)	Gravels with Fines	0000	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures				
GRAINED SOILS		(more than 12% fines)		GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures				
(more than 50% retained on	Sanda	Clean Sands		SW	Well-graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines				
No. 200 sieve)	(50% or more of coarse fraction passes the No. 4 sieve)	(less than 5% fines)		SP	Poorly graded sand, gravelly sands, little or no fines				
		Sands with Fines	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures					
		(more than 12% fines)		SC	Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures				
		Turanania		ML	Inorganic silts of low to medium plasticity, sandy silts, gravelly silts, or clayey silts with slight plasticity				
	Silts and Clays (liquid limit less	Inorganic		CL	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clay silty clays, lean clays				
SOILS	(inter 50)	Organic		OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity				
passes the No. 200 sieve)		Incurania		MH	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sands or silty soils, elastic silt				
	Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50 or more)	morganic		СН	Inorganic clays of medium to high plasticity, sandy fat clay, or gravelly fat clay				
		Organic		OH	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts				
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS	Primarily organic ma and organic odor	atter, dark in color,	<u>4 8 8</u> 14 <u>8 14</u>	PT	Peat, humus, swamp soils with high organic content (ASTM D4427)				

Classification of Soil Constituents

MAJOR constituents compose more than 50 percent, by weight, of the soil. Major constituents are capitalized (i.e., SAND).

Minor constituents compose 12 to 50 percent of the soil and precede the major constituents (i.e., silty SAND). Minor constituents preceded by "slightly" compose 5 to 12 percent of the soil (i.e., slightly silty SAND).

Trace constituents compose 0 to 5 percent of the soil (i.e., slightly silty SAND, trace gravel).

Relati	ve Density	Consistency			
(Coarse G	rained Soils)	(Fine Grained Soils)			
N, SPT,	Relative	N, SPT,	Relative		
Blows/FT	Density	<u>Blows/FT</u>	Consistency		
0 - 4 4 - 10 10 - 30 30 - 50 Over 50	Very loose Loose Medium dense Dense Very dense	Under 2 2 - 4 4 - 8 8 - 15 15 - 30 Over 30	Very soft Soft Medium stiff Stiff Very stiff Hard		

Grain Size Definitions					
Description	Sieve Number and/or Size				
Fines	<#200 (0.08 mm)				
Sand -Fine -Medium -Coarse	#200 to #40 (0.08 to 0.4 mm) #40 to #10 (0.4 to 2 mm) #10 to #4 (2 to 5 mm)				
Gravel -Fine -Coarse	#4 to 3/4 inch (5 to 19 mm) 3/4 to 3 inches (19 to 76 mm)				
Cobbles	3 to 12 inches (75 to 305 mm)				
Boulders	>12 inches (305 mm)				

Moisture Content DefinitionsDryAbsence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touchMoistDamp but no visible waterWetVisible free water, from below water table



Cobalt Geosciences, LLC P.O. Box 82243 Kenmore, WA 98028 (206) 331-1097 www.cobaltgeo.com cobaltgeo@gmail.com

Soil Classification Chart

Figure C1

					Hand Boring I	HB-1								
Date: I	Novem	oer 202	23		Depth: 6'	Grou	roundwater: None							
Contractor: Cobalt					Elevation: Logo			ged By: PH Checked By: SC						
Depth (Feet)	Interval	Graphic Log	USCS Symbol		Material Description		Groundwater	0	Plastic Limit DC	P Equivo	Content	(%) Liquid Limit	50	
- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10			SM SM	<u>Iopsoil/Vegetc</u> Loose to mediu dark yellowish I (Weathered G Locally mottled Dense, silty-fine grayish brown, End of Hand B	tion um dense, silty-fine to medium grained prown to grayish brown, moist. acial Till) t to medium grained sand with gravel moist. (Glacial Till) pring 6'	sand with grave	-			20		40		
		G E O S	B	ALT	Proposed Residenc 4104 83rd Avenue S Mercer Island, Washin	e Ex E gton	plo Lo	orat	ion	Cobal P.O. I Kenm (206) <u>www.</u> cobald	t Geoscie Sox 8224; ore, WA 331-1097 <u>cobaltgeo</u> geo@gm	nces, LI 3 98028 7 <u>5.com</u> ail com	.C	

					Hand Boring	HB-2							
Date: N	lovem	ber 20	23		Depth: 6'	Grou	Jndv	vater:	None	;			
Contractor: Cobalt					Elevation:	Log	ged	By: PH	(Check	ked By	/: SC	
epth (Feet)	nterval	Graphic Log	sCs symbol		Material Descriptior	1	oundwater	Pla Lim		sture Co	ontent ent N-V	(%) Liquid Limit	
	<u> </u>		ň				Ŭ	0	10	20	30	40	50
 			SM SM	Topsoil/Vegeta Loose to mediu dark yellowish k (Weathered Gl Locally mottlec Dense, silty-fine grayish brown,	tion m dense, silty-fine to medium grain prown to grayish brown, moist. acial Till) t to medium grained sand with gray moist. (Glacial Till)	ed sand with grave	-						
				End of Hand Bo	pring 6'								
											0		
		G E O	B s c i	ALT	Proposed Reside 4104 83rd Avenu Mercer Island, Wash	nce e SE nington	cplo Lo	oratio ogs	on	Cobalt P.O. Bo Kenmo (206) 3 <u>www.co</u> cobaltg	Geoscie ox 8224; re, WA 331-1097 obaltgeo eo@gm	nces, LL 3 98028 7 <u>0.com</u> <u>ail.com</u>	С

Appendix B: WWHM Modeling

<section-header>

General Model Information

WWHM2012 Project Name: 4216						
Site Name:	4216					
Site Address:	4216 83rd Avenue SE					
City:	Mercer Island					
Report Date:	2/20/2024					
Gage:	Seatac					
Data Start:	1948/10/01					
Data End:	2009/09/30					
Timestep:	15 Minute					
Precip Scale:	1.000					
Version Date:	2023/01/27					
Version:	4.2.19					

POC Thresholds

Low Flow Threshold for POC1: High Flow Threshold for POC1: 50 Percent of the 2 Year 50 Year

Landuse Basin Data Predeveloped Land Use

Basin 1

Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use C, Lawn, Mod	acre 0.2273
Pervious Total	0.2273
Impervious Land Use ROOF TOPS FLAT ROADS FLAT SIDEWALKS FLAT	acre 0.0615 0.0211 0.0101
Impervious Total	0.0927
Basin Total	0.32
	RAL

Mitigated Land Use

Basin 1

Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use C, Lawn, Mod	acre 0.184
Pervious Total	0.184
Impervious Land Use ROOF TOPS FLAT ROADS FLAT SIDEWALKS FLAT	acre 0.1087 0.0221 0.0052
Impervious Total	0.136
Basin Total	0.32

OR AND

Routing Elements Predeveloped Routing

OR ANT

OR AND

Analysis Results POC 1



1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 1990 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	0.054 0.049 0.033 0.050 0.042 0.066 0.035 0.084 0.075 0.059 0.051 0.062 0.081 0.030 0.062 0.046 0.043 0.051 0.056 0.046 0.099 0.053 0.096 0.052 0.039 0.053 0.051 0.058 0.030 0.051 0.058 0.030 0.051 0.058 0.030 0.051 0.058 0.030 0.051 0.058 0.030 0.051 0.058 0.030 0.051 0.058 0.046 0.075 0.046 0.040 0.029 0.025 0.046 0.047 0.128 0.056 0.044 0.040 0.029 0.025 0.046 0.047 0.128 0.056 0.044 0.051 0.049 0.144 0.065	0.063 0.060 0.044 0.060 0.053 0.078 0.044 0.093 0.071 0.063 0.076 0.092 0.039 0.074 0.077 0.056 0.052 0.063 0.078 0.109 0.067 0.110 0.067 0.061 0.079 0.044 0.055 0.169 0.044 0.055 0.169 0.044 0.055 0.169 0.044 0.055 0.169 0.044 0.055 0.169 0.038 0.037 0.059 0.081 0.071 0.058 0.150 0.068 0.061 0.079 0.136 0.061 0.079 0.136 0.061 0.075	\rightarrow
--	--	--	---------------

Ranked Annual Peaks

Ranked Annual Peaks for Predeveloped and Mitigated. POC #1 Rank Predeveloped Mitigated 1 0 1571 0 1686

1	0.1571	0.1686
2	0.1437	0.1529
3	0.1283	0.1500

4 5	0.1151 0.1117	0.1364 0.1235
6 7	0.1081	0.1200
8	0.0957	0.1087
9 10	0.0878	0.1012
11	0.0843	0.0941
12	0.0829	0.0934
14	0.0753	0.0922
15	0.0752	0.0812
17	0.0659	0.0789
18	0.0654	0.0783
19 20	0.0651	0.0780 0.0766
21	0.0623	0.0756
22 23	0.0615	0.0754
24	0.0594	0.0740
25	0.0580	0.0710
27	0.0558	0.0703
28	0.0543	0.0683
30	0.0530	0.0672
31	0.0525	0.0633
32 33	0.0518	0.0631
34	0.0510	0.0610
35 36	0.0507	0.0608
37	0.0496	0.0605
38	0.0488	0.0599
40	0.0474	0.0590
41	0.0465	0.0586
42 43	0.0457	0.0576
44	0.0452	0.0568
45 46	0.0441	0.0559
47	0.0426	0.0546
48 49	0.0416	0.0525 0.0521
50	0.0394	0.0512
51 52	0.0389	0.0491
53	0.0345	0.0444
54 55	0.0329	0.0441
56	0.0308	0.0439
57 58	0.0305	0.0430
59	0.0299	0.0386
60	0.0288	0.0384
0.1	0.0250	0.0366

OR AND

Duration Flows

The Duration Matching Failed

Flow(cfs)	Predev	Mit	Percentage	Pass/Fail
0.0273	1198	2605	217	Fail
0.0284	1034	2291	221	Fail
0.0296	930	2023	217	Fail
0.0307	816	1785	218	Fail
0.0318	710	1586	223	Fail
0.0330	630	1434	227	Fail
0.0341	568	1268	223	Fail
0.0353	509	1125	221	Fail
0.0364	466	1007	216	Fail
0.0375	431	910	211	Fail
0.0387	387	830	214	Fail
0.0398	359	737	205	Fail
0.0410	327	669	204	Fail
0.0421	303	610	201	Fail
0.0432	279	553	198	Fail
0.0444	257	506	196	Fail
0.0455	241	462	100	Fail
0.0400	210	/31	106	Fail
0.0407	202	402	100	Fail
0.0470	170	375	200	Fail
0.0403	170	3/1	203	Fail
0.0501	155	310	200	Fail
0.0512	142	302	203	Fail
0.0524	142	282	212	Fail
0.0535	104	203	211	Fail
0.0540	122	213	223	Fail
0.0550	110	201	205	Fail
0.0509	102	220	203	Fail
0.0500	103	209	190	Fail
0.0392	90	174	109	Fail
0.0003	01	161	176	Fail
0.0015	91	101	170	Fall
0.0020	00 95	1/2	169	Fall
0.0037	00	140	100	Fall
0.0049	00 75	100	100	Fall
0.0000	75	121	109	Fall
0.0072	7 I 65	121	170	Fall
0.0003	00	114	175	Fall
0.0694	50 52	107	191	Fall
0.0706	53 50	103	194	Fall
0.0717	5Z	97	100	Fall
0.0729	49	94	191	Fall
0.0740	47	91	193	Fall
0.0751	47	00	107	Fall
0.0763	43	04	195	Fall
0.0774	42	0U 74	190	Fall
0.0705	41	74 67	100	Fall Fail
0.0797	30 20	07	1/0	Fall
0.0000	30 25	04 50	100	Fall
0.0020	ა ე	39 55	100	Fall
0.0031	<u>აა</u>	00 50	100	Fall
0.0042	ა∠ 20	5Z		Fall
0.0004	29	4ð 47		Fall
0.0000	21	47	1/4	Fall
0.0877	26	47	180	Fall

0.0888 0.0899 0.0911 0.0922 0.0934 0.0945 0.0956 0.0956 0.0968 0.0979 0.0990 0.1002 0.1013 0.1025 0.1036 0.1047 0.1059 0.1070 0.1082 0.1093 0.1104 0.1127 0.1139 0.1161 0.1127 0.1139 0.1161 0.1127 0.1207 0.1218 0.1207 0.1241 0.1252 0.1264 0.1275 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1287 0.1321 0.1321 0.1355 0.1366 0.1378 0.1389	24 23 20 20 20 17 17 16 54 43 12 11 10 9 66 64 44 43 33 33 33 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	47 43 40 36 33 33 29 29 28 26 25 23 22 21 20 19 19 18 17 17 16 16 15 14 13 13 12 1 9 8 7 7 7 7 6 6 4 4 4 4 3 3 3	195 186 173 200 180 165 173 170 164 162 166 164 157 161 166 158 172 180 188 188 266 250 325 325 325 325 325 300 300 200 200 200 200 200 200 150 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail Fail
0.1401	2	3	150	Fail

The development has an increase in flow durations from 1/2 Predeveloped 2 year flow to the 2 year flow or more than a 10% increase from the 2 year to the 50 year flow.

The development has an increase in flow durations for more than 50% of the flows for the range of the duration analysis.

Water Quality

Water Quality Water Quality BMP Flow and Volume for POC #1 On-line facility volume: 0 acre-feet On-line facility target flow: 0 cfs. Adjusted for 15 min: 0 cfs. Off-line facility target flow: 0 cfs. Adjusted for 15 min: 0 cfs.

LID Report

LID Technique	Used for Treatment ?	Total Volume Needs Treatment (ac-ft)	Volume Through Facility (ac-ft)	Infiltration Volume (ac-ft)	Cumulative Volume Infiltration Credit	Percent Volume Infiltrated	Water Quality	Percent Water Quality Treated	Comment
Total Volume Infiltrated		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0%	No Treat. Credit
Compliance with LID Standard 8% of 2-yr to 50% of 2-yr									Duration Analysis Result = Failed

*

Model Default Modifications

Total of 0 changes have been made.

PERLND Changes

No PERLND changes have been made.

IMPLND Changes

No IMPLND changes have been made.

JU-JU

Appendix Predeveloped Schematic

	Basin 0.32ac	1			

Mitigated Schematic

7	Basin 0.32ac	1			

Predeveloped UCI File

RUN

GLOBAL WWHM4 model simulation END START 1948 10 01 2009 09 30 RUN INTERP OUTPUT LEVEL 3 0 RESUME 0 RUN 1 UNIT SYSTEM 1 END GLOBAL FILES <File> <Un#> <-----File Name---->*** * * * <-ID-> 4216.wdm WDM 26 MESSU 25 Pre4216.MES 27 Pre4216.L61 28 Pre4216.L62 POC42161.dat 30 END FILES OPN SEOUENCE INGRP INDELT 00:15 17 PERLND 4 IMPLND IMPLND 1 IMPLND 8 COPY 501 DISPLY 1 END INGRP END OPN SEQUENCE DISPLY DISPLY-INF01 # - #<----Title ->***TRAN PIVL DIG1 FIL1 PYR DIG2 FIL2 YRND 1 Basin 1 MAX 2 30 1 9 END DISPLY-INFO1 END DISPLY COPY TIMESERIES NMN *** # - # NPT 1 501 1 1 1 1 END TIMESERIES END COPY GENER OPCODE # # OPCD *** END OPCODE PARM K *** # # END PARM END GENER PERLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name----->NBLKS Unit-systems Printer *** User t-series Engl Metr *** # -# * * * in out 17 27 C, Lawn, Mod 1 1 1 1 0 END GEN-INFO *** Section PWATER*** ACTIVITY

 # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED
 PST
 PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC ***

 17
 0
 0
 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC ********

0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 17 9 END PRINT-INFO PWAT-PARM1 <PLS > PWATER variable monthly parameter value flags ***
 # # CSNO RTOP UZFG
 VCS
 VUZ
 VNN VIFW
 VIRC
 VLE
 INFC
 HWT

 17
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0 17 END PWAT-PARM1 PWATER input info: Part 2***FORESTLZSNINFILTLSURSLSURKVARY^450.034000.10.5 PWAT-PARM2 <PLS > # - # ***FOREST LZSN INFILT .7 0 4.5 0.03 AGWRC 0.996 17 END PWAT-PARM2 PWAT-PARM3 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 3 * * * # - # ***PETMAX PETMIN INFEXP .7 0 0 2 INFILD DEEPFR BASETP AGWETP 2 17 0 0 0 END PWAT-PARM3 PWAT-PARM4 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 4 CEPSC UZSN NSUR INTFW IRC # - # LZETP *** 17 0.1 0.25 0.25 0.5 0.25 6 END PWAT-PARM4 PWAT-STATE1 <PLS > *** Initial conditions at start of simulation ran from 1990 to end of 1992 (pat 1-11-95) RUN 21 *** Ň UZS 0 LZS AGWS # *** CEPS SURS IFWS GWVS 0 0 2.5 17 Q 1 0 END PWAT-STATE1 END PERLND IMPLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><----Name---Unit-systems Printer *** --> # - # User t-series Engl Metr *** * * * in out ROOF TOPS/FLAT 1 1 27 0 4 1 ROADS/FLAT 1 1 1 27 0 1 8 SIDEWALKS/FLAT 1 1 1 27 0 END GEN-INFO *** Section IWATER*** ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL * * * 0 0 1 0 0 0 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 8 0 0 0 END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO <ILS > ******* Print-flags ******* PIVL PYR 8 0 0 4 0 0 0 1 9 END PRINT-INFO IWAT-PARM1 <PLS > IWATER variable monthly parameter value flags *** * * * # - # CSNO RTOP VRS VNN RTLI 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 8 END IWAT-PARM1

IWAT-PARM2 IWATER input info: Part 2 * * * <PLS > # - # *** LSUR SLSUR NSUR RETSC 4 400 0.01 0.1 0.1 1 400 0.01 0.1 0.1 8 400 0.01 0.1 0.1 END IWAT-PARM2 IWAT-PARM3 * * * <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 3 # - # ***PETMAX PETMIN 0 4 0 0 0 1 0 8 0 END IWAT-PARM3 IWAT-STATE1 <PLS > *** Initial conditions at start of simulation # - # *** RETS SURS 4 0 0 0 0 1 8 0 0 END IWAT-STATE1 END IMPLND SCHEMATIC <-Target-> MBLK <Name> # Tbl# <--Area-> * * * <-Source-> <Name> # <-factor-> <Name> # Tbl# * * * Basin 1*** PERLND 17 0.2273 501 COPY 12 0.2273 PERLND 17 COPY 501 13 IMPLND 4 0.0615 COPY 501 15 0.0211 IMPLND 1 COPY 501 15 0.0101 COPY 501 IMPLND 8 15 *****Routing***** END SCHEMATIC NETWORK <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> *** <Name> # _____<Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # *** COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 DISPLY 1 INPUT TIMSER 1 <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> * * * <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # *** END NETWORK RCHRES GEN-INFO Name Nexits Unit Systems Printer * * * RCHRES * * * # - #<----> User T-series Engl Metr LKFG * * * in out END GEN-INFO *** Section RCHRES*** ACTIVITY # - # HYFG ADFG CNFG HTFG SDFG GQFG OXFG NUFG PKFG PHFG *** END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # HYDR ADCA CONS HEAT SED GQL OXRX NUTR PLNK PHCB PIVL PYR ******** END PRINT-INFO HYDR-PARM1 * * * RCHRES Flags for each HYDR Section

END HYDR-PARM1 HYDR-PARM2 # - # FTABNO LEN DELTH STCOR * * * ks db50 <----><----><----><----> * * * END HYDR-PARM2 HYDR-INIT RCHRES Initial conditions for each HYDR section * * * END HYDR-INIT END RCHRES SPEC-ACTIONS END SPEC-ACTIONS FTABLES END FTABLES EXT SOURCES <-Volume-> <Member> SsysSgap<--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> *** <Name> # <Name> # tem strg<-factor->strg <Name> # # </Name> # # *** WDM2 PRECENGL1PERLND1999EXTNLPRECWDM2 PRECENGL1IMPLND1999EXTNLPRECWDM1EVAPENGL0.76PERLND1999EXTNLPETINWDM1EVAPENGL0.76IMPLND1999EXTNLPETIN IMPLND1999EXTNLPRECPERLND1999EXTNLPETINPIMPLND1999EXTNLPETINP END EXT SOURCES EXT TARGETS <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Volume-> <Member> Tsys Tgap Amd *** <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # <Name> tem strg strg*** COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 WDM 501 FLOW ENGL REPL END EXT TARGETS MASS-LINK <Volume> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult--> <Target> <-Grp> <-Member->*** <Name> <Name> # #<-factor-> <Name> <-Grp> <-Member->*** <Name> # #*** 12 PERLND PWATER SURO 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN END MASS-LINK 12 MASS-LINK 13 PERLND PWATER IFWO 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN END MASS-LINK 13 MASS-LINK 15 IMPLND IWATER SURO 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN END MASS-LINK 15

END MASS-LINK

END RUN

Mitigated UCI File

RUN

GLOBAL WWHM4 model simulation END START 1948 10 01 2009 09 30 RUN INTERP OUTPUT LEVEL 3 0 RESUME 0 RUN 1 UNIT SYSTEM 1 END GLOBAL FILES <File> <Un#> <-----File Name---->*** * * * <-ID-> WDM 26 4216.wdm MESSU 25 Mit4216.MES 27 Mit4216.L61 28 Mit4216.L62 30 POC42161.dat END FILES OPN SEOUENCE INGRP INDELT 00:15 17 PERLND 4 IMPLND IMPLND 1 IMPLND 8 COPY 501 DISPLY 1 END INGRP END OPN SEQUENCE DISPLY DISPLY-INF01 # - #<----Title ->***TRAN PIVL DIG1 FIL1 PYR DIG2 FIL2 YRND 1 Basin 1 MAX 2 30 1 9 END DISPLY-INFO1 END DISPLY COPY TIMESERIES NMN *** # - # NPT 1 501 1 1 1 1 END TIMESERIES END COPY GENER OPCODE # # OPCD *** END OPCODE PARM K *** # # END PARM END GENER PERLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name----->NBLKS Unit-systems Printer *** User t-series Engl Metr *** # - # * * * in out 17 27 C, Lawn, Mod 1 1 1 1 0 END GEN-INFO *** Section PWATER*** ACTIVITY

 # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED
 PST
 PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC ***

 17
 0
 0
 1
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC ********

0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 17 9 END PRINT-INFO PWAT-PARM1 <PLS > PWATER variable monthly parameter value flags ***
 # # CSNO RTOP UZFG
 VCS
 VUZ
 VNN VIFW
 VIRC
 VLE
 INFC
 HWT

 17
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0 17 END PWAT-PARM1 PWATER input info: Part 2***FORESTLZSNINFILTLSURSLSURKVARY^450.034000.10.5 PWAT-PARM2 <PLS > # - # ***FOREST LZSN INFILT .7 0 4.5 0.03 AGWRC 0.996 17 END PWAT-PARM2 PWAT-PARM3 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 3 * * * # - # ***PETMAX PETMIN INFEXP .7 0 0 2 INFILD DEEPFR BASETP AGWETP 2 17 0 0 0 END PWAT-PARM3 PWAT-PARM4 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 4 CEPSC UZSN NSUR INTFW IRC # - # LZETP *** 17 0.1 0.25 0.25 0.5 0.25 6 END PWAT-PARM4 PWAT-STATE1 <PLS > *** Initial conditions at start of simulation ran from 1990 to end of 1992 (pat 1-11-95) RUN 21 *** Ň UZS 0 LZS AGWS # *** CEPS SURS IFWS GWVS 0 0 2.5 17 Q 1 0 END PWAT-STATE1 END PERLND IMPLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><----Name---Unit-systems Printer *** --> # - # User t-series Engl Metr *** * * * in out ROOF TOPS/FLAT 1 1 27 0 4 1 ROADS/FLAT 1 1 1 27 0 1 8 SIDEWALKS/FLAT 1 1 1 27 0 END GEN-INFO *** Section IWATER*** ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL * * * 0 0 1 0 0 0 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 8 0 0 0 END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO <ILS > ******* Print-flags ******* PIVL PYR 8 0 0 4 0 0 0 1 9 END PRINT-INFO IWAT-PARM1 <PLS > IWATER variable monthly parameter value flags *** * * * # - # CSNO RTOP VRS VNN RTLI 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 8 END IWAT-PARM1

IWAT-PARM2 IWATER input info: Part 2 * * * <PLS > # - # *** LSUR SLSUR NSUR RETSC 4 400 0.01 0.1 0.1 1 400 0.01 0.1 0.1 8 400 0.01 0.1 0.1 END IWAT-PARM2 IWAT-PARM3 * * * <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 3 # - # ***PETMAX PETMIN 0 4 0 0 0 1 0 8 0 END IWAT-PARM3 IWAT-STATE1 <PLS > *** Initial conditions at start of simulation # - # *** RETS SURS 4 0 0 0 0 1 8 0 0 END IWAT-STATE1 END IMPLND SCHEMATIC <-Target-> MBLK <Name> # Tbl# <--Area-> * * * <-Source-> <Name> # <-factor-> <Name> # Tbl# * * * Basin 1*** PERLND 17 501 0.184 COPY 12 PERLND 17 0.184 COPY 501 13 IMPLND 4 0.1087 COPY 501 15 0.0221 IMPLND 1 COPY 501 15 0.0052 COPY 501 IMPLND 8 15 *****Routing***** END SCHEMATIC NETWORK <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> *** <Name> # _____<Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # *** COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 DISPLY 1 INPUT TIMSER 1 <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> * * * <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # *** END NETWORK RCHRES GEN-INFO Name Nexits Unit Systems Printer * * * RCHRES * * * # - #<----> User T-series Engl Metr LKFG * * * in out END GEN-INFO *** Section RCHRES*** ACTIVITY # - # HYFG ADFG CNFG HTFG SDFG GOFG OXFG NUFG PKFG PHFG *** END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # HYDR ADCA CONS HEAT SED GQL OXRX NUTR PLNK PHCB PIVL PYR ******** END PRINT-INFO HYDR-PARM1 * * * RCHRES Flags for each HYDR Section

END HYDR-PARM1 HYDR-PARM2 # - # FTABNO LEN DELTH STCOR KS DB50 * * * <----><----><----><----> * * * END HYDR-PARM2 HYDR-INIT RCHRES Initial conditions for each HYDR section * * * END HYDR-INIT END RCHRES SPEC-ACTIONS END SPEC-ACTIONS FTABLES END FTABLES EXT SOURCES <-Volume-> <Member> SsysSgap<--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> *** <Name> # <Name> # tem strg<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # *** WDM2PRECENGL1PERLND1999EXTNLPRECWDM2PRECENGL1IMPLND1999EXTNLPRECWDM1EVAPENGL0.76PERLND1999EXTNLPETINWDM1EVAPENGL0.76IMPLND1999EXTNLPETIN IMPLND 1 999 EXTNL PREC PERLND 1 999 EXTNL PETINP IMPLND 1 999 EXTNL PETINP END EXT SOURCES EXT TARGETS <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Volume-> <Member> Tsys Tgap Amd *** <Name> # <Name> # #<=factor->strg <Name> # <Name> tem strg strg***
COPY 1 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 WDM 701 FLOW ENGL REPL
COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 WDM 801 FLOW ENGL REPL END EXT TARGETS MASS-LINK

 <Volume>
 <-Grp><-Member-><--Mult-->
 <Target>
 <-Grp><-Member->***

 <Name>
 <Name> # #<-factor->
 <Name>
 <Name> # #***

 MASS-LINK
 12

 PERLND PWATER SURO 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN END MASS-LINK 12 MASS-LINK 13 PERLND PWATER IFWO 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN END MASS-LINK 13 MASS-LINK 15 0.083333 COPY INPUT MEAN IMPLND IWATER SURO END MASS-LINK 15

END MASS-LINK

END RUN

Predeveloped HSPF Message File

OR AND
Mitigated HSPF Message File

OR ANT

Disclaimer

Legal Notice

This program and accompanying documentation are provided 'as-is' without warranty of any kind. The entire risk regarding the performance and results of this program is assumed by End User. Clear Creek Solutions Inc. and the governmental licensee or sublicensees disclaim all warranties, either expressed or implied, including but not limited to implied warranties of program and accompanying documentation. In no event shall Clear Creek Solutions Inc. be liable for any damages whatsoever (including without limitation to damages for loss of business profits, loss of business information, business interruption, and the like) arising out of the use of, or inability to use this program even if Clear Creek Solutions Inc. or their authorized representatives have been advised of the possibility of such damages. Software Copyright © by : Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. 2005-2024; All Rights Reserved.

Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. 6200 Capitol Blvd. Ste F Olympia, WA. 98501 Toll Free 1(866)943-0304 Local (360)943-0304

www.clearcreeksolutions.com

DRAL